

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/895,050	PERBOST, MICHEL G. M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Jones	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: Board Decision Rendered

(1) Gary Jones. (3) _____.

(2) Dianne Rees(Reg. # 45281). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



W. Gary Jones

**Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jones indicated that in light of the Board Decision, apparatus claims 29-31, 34 and 35 are allowable. However, the application would be reopened and a new office action would be rendered on the computer program product claims 32-33. The attorney agreed to cancel claims 32-32 without prejudice by examiner's amendment in order to place the application into condition for allowance.